(Call to order of the court.)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you all very much for your patience. Good morning to all of you.

For the record, State of Ohio v. Tracie Hunter, Case
No. B-1400110. This matter is on the docket today solely for
the execution of sentence imposed by my predecessor, Judge
Nadel, on December 5th, 2014, for a jury conviction against Ms.
Hunter on Count 6 of the indictment, having an unlawful
interest in a public contract, a felony of the fourth degree.
The judgment entry imposing that sentence is signed by Judge
Nadel and is part of the record.

Mr. Singleton, Ms. Branch, you represent Ms. Hunter; is that correct?

MR. SINGLETON: That's correct, Your Honor. Good morning.

MS. BRANCH: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. And, Mr. Croswell, you're here on behalf of the State; is that correct?

MR. CROSWELL: I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you all for being here.

I will point out, as most people here know, this is not a sentencing hearing. It is not a resentencing hearing.

The sentence has already been imposed by Judge Nadel on

December 5th, 2014. My job is solely to follow the law, abide by the rule of law, and execute the imposed sentence. But before we get to that, there are two things.

First of all, I have in my hand a letter, which I have not shared with counsel because, obviously, everything needs to be on the record. It was hand-delivered to me moments ago from the Hamilton County prosecutor, Joe Deters. I will read it for the record.

"Dear Honorable Patrick Dinkelacker: You and I have known each other professionally and personally for almost 40 years. Today you are going to impose the sentence Judge Norbert Nadel gave former Judge Tracie Hunter after she was indicted by a grand jury, convicted by a petit jury, and upheld by every court in Ohio.

"It was also reviewed by the Federal District Court in the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals and upheld. She has not once shown remorse. She has been incredibly disrespectful to you and to the justice system. She has, in the face -- she has in the face [sic] the fact that my office removed itself in any way from her prosecution, blamed us for her plight. That being said, I believe she has some type of mental condition that has created this scenario.

"Although we are not --" please.

"Although we are not the prosecutors in this particular case, I ask, as a friend of the Court, to consider

1 an evaluation of her prior to imposing Judge Nadel's sentence. 2 I have nothing but the greatest respect for you as a judge and, more importantly, as a person. I know in my heart that you 3 will do what you believe is just. I've known you too long to 4 5 believe otherwise. 6 "But she has basically lost everything 7 professionally. A review of her stability to serve jailtime 8 hurts no one and may in some way aid in your decision to impose 9 this sentence." Signed by Joseph T. Deters. 10 Any comment on that, Mr. Croswell, first of all, sir? 11 MR. CROSWELL: Your Honor, I would endorse those 12 comments. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 14 Mr. Singleton? 15 Oh, yes. I want to be heard on this. MR. SINGLETON: 16 Judge --17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. SINGLETON: I will -- I'm -- I can't believe 19 that -- that Joe Deters would ask for her to be evaluated. 20 the letter there's reference to her not showing remorse. 21 Here's why there's not remorse. She --22 THE COURT: Well, first of all, Mr. Singleton, with 23 all due respect, you -- you can -- I'll certainly give you a 24 chance to say anything you'd like. I'm just talking about

whether or not you believe this is something appropriate for me

25

II to do.

2 MR. SINGLETON: No.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SINGLETON: Absolutely not.

THE COURT: I appreciate that.

MR. SINGLETON: Absolutely not. And -- and I -- and if I could just say more, I'd like to.

The reason I say "absolutely not" is, first of all, there -- I mean, both Jennifer Branch and I have -- have worked very closely with Judge Hunter. There is no issue whatsoever, not in the slightest, about her not being competent or understanding what's going on, absolutely nothing. She is -- been very helpful to us as we have litigated her case up to the Ohio Supreme Court and in federal habeas. So that's -- that's number one.

Number two, with regard to the issue in Prosecutor

Deters's letter about remorse, the reason why there's not

remorse is because she didn't violate this statute. I know

that there's a conviction. I understand what the courts have

said about it. But under the plain language of the statute,

she is not guilty of securing the authorization of employment,

period.

And we plan to file a motion to dismiss. I would ask the Court not to impose sentence today, to give us a chance to do that. I would ask, alternatively, that the Court not

grant -- not impose or execute the sentence today because we are still in -- on appeal of the habeas denial in federal court.

And we would ask the Court to -- to stay execution of sentence until we finally resolve that issue. This case has -- as you know, has been pending for quite some time; and Judge Hunter has been out the entire time that the appeals have run and the habeas has run.

And -- and let me just say a word about -- about -- about what she's gone through, because I think that gets lost sight of. Every day for the past five years almost, Judge Hunter has gotten up each morning not knowing whether that was going to be the day that she was going to have to go to jail. I know, by talking with her, by observing her, the impact that that has had on her life. We believe it would be profoundly unjust and unfair and a waste of taxpayer dollars to incarcerate her for one minute in the jail.

We believe it would be profoundly unfair and unjust and a waste of taxpayer resources to put her on probation.

What we'd ask the Court to do is just end this today. End this today but not incarcerate her, particularly given that we're going to be filing this motion to dismiss. We've asked the Court for -- for a continuance for us to be able to address that.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Singleton.

MR. CROSWELL: Your Honor, let my --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Croswell.

Ms. Branch, did you want to say anything in regards to Mr. Deters' letter?

MS. BRANCH: Your Honor, everything that Mr. Singleton just said is true. Tracie Hunter does not have any mental condition, does not need an evaluation.

THE COURT: I -- I appreciate that. Thank you.

Mr. Croswell?

MR. CROSWELL: Your Honor, if I might, simply in response to -- to the letter, Mr. Singleton indicated that he did not believe -- or that he did believe that Ms. Hunter was competent to stand trial. I would agree with that. I don't believe that Mr. Deters' letter is speaking to the issue of whether she was competent to stand trial or whether she was legally insane for the purpose of -- of the trial. No one has raised that issue, and it's not even been considered.

I believe that the letter that Mr. Deters has written and that I, when you asked, endorsed, was a letter which asked to have an evaluation for the purpose of sentencing. And I would say that that has nothing to do with the competency issue; and it is rather -- it is not uncommon for the courts, in trying to determine an appropriate judgment, to order a -- a presentence investigation and to order, in addition to that, a

clinic evaluation to determine if there are any services that are needed or if there are any issues that -- that present themselves.

So that -- certainly, it's up to the Court; but that -- that part of the letter that Mr. Deters wrote is the part that -- that I endorse. And I think it was solely for the purpose of sentencing, not to question competency in this case.

THE COURT: I appreciate that. And since defense counsel has indicated that they do not wish for me to proceed in that manner, I will certainly not do that. I do appreciate the concerns Mr. Deter has -- has extended, but that is something that we're not going to proceed with.