1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins tape number one in the
2	deposition of Hitesh Amin, M.D., in the matter of Randolph
3	Wiggins, et al. vs. MedStar Southern Maryland Hospital Center,
4	Incorporated, et al. in the Circuit Court for Prince George's
5	County, Case Number CAL16-37868. Today's date is June 14,
6	2017. The time is 10:13 a.m.
7	The video operator today is Brian Mackey. This
8	deposition is taking place at the office of Pessin Katz, 901
9	Dulaney Valley Road, Towson, Maryland.
10	Counsel, please identify themselves and state whom
11	they represent.
12	MR. ZUBER: Justin Zuber on behalf of the plaintiff,
13	the Estate of Reni Wiggins.
14	MS. FORERO: I'm Janet Forero on behalf of MedStar
15	Southern Maryland Hospital.
16	MS. MAGDEBURGER: Natalie Magdeburger on behalf of
17	Dr. Amin and Washington Surgical Specialists.
18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Sherry
19	Smith. Would the reporter please swear in the witness?
20	THE REPORTER: And can you raise your right hand,
21	please?
22	WHEREUPON,
23	DR. HITESH AMIN,
24	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn to tell

the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was

examined and testified as follows:

2 | EXAMINATION

3 | BY MR. ZUBER:

1

9

10

- Q. Good morning, Doctor. My name's Justin Zuber. I'm going to be taking your deposition here today. Have you ever had
- 6 | your deposition taken before?
- $7 \parallel A$. Yes, I have.
- 8 | Q. On how many occasions?
 - MS. MAGDEBURGER: Objection on -- if I can just have a continuing objection, that would be --
- 11 MR. ZUBER: Sure, sure.
- 12 MS. MAGDEBURGER: -- helpful.
- 13 MR. AMIN: I can recall about four times.
- 14 | BY MR. ZUBER:
- Q. Okay. And do you remember -- can you tell me starting with the first one, if you can recall, what year that took place in?
- 18 MS. MAGDEBURGER: Same continuing objection.
- 19 A. I believe that was around 2012 or 2013 timeframe. I don't 20 know exactly when that was.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember any of the allegations in that case?
- A. Yes. That was a case where there was an alleged delay in treatment on a patient who had an obstructing colon lesion.
- 25 | Q. And is that case still pending in any sort of

- 1 | jurisdiction?
- 2 | A. No.
- $3 \parallel Q$. Okay. Do you remember where that case was filed?
- 4 | A. Prince George's County.
- 5 | Q. Did it go to trial?
- 6 | A. No.
- $7 \parallel Q$. Okay. The second case, what year was that in?
- 8 | A. Maybe a year -- two years later, 2014.
- $9 \parallel Q$. Okay. And what were the allegations in that case?
- 10 | A. That was a case where a patient suffered a leak following
- 11 | surgery, and it was alleged that there was a delay in
- 12 | diagnosis.
- 13 \parallel Q. Okay. And what case -- or where was that filed in?
- 14 | A. Prince George's County.
- 15 | 0. And is that case resolved?
- 16 A. It has been resolved, yes. It went to trial, and we had a
- 17 defense verdict for myself and my corporation.
- 18 | Q. Okay. Were you the primary defendant in that case?
- 19 | A. I believe I was.
- 20 | Q. Okay.
- MS. MAGDEBURGER: Just for the record, there were two other defendants --
- DR. ARMIN: Three.
- MS. MAGDEBURGER: -- three other defendants. There
- 25 was a primary care physician group, so two of them, and then

- Dr. Balkissoon, who was a partner of Dr. Amin's.
- MR. ZUBER: Okay.
- MS. MAGDEBURGER: And there -- there was a verdict against the primary care physicians.
- 5 MR. ZUBER: Okay. I understand.
- 6 | BY MR. ZUBER:
 - Q. And the third case, remember what year that was in?
- 8 A. I gave a deposition maybe last year, 2016 or -- or late
- 9 | 2015.

1

2

7

- 10 | Q. Okay. And I'm assuming you were a named defendant in that
- 11 | case as well?
- 12 | A. Yeah, I believe I was the sole defendant in that case.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And was that in Prince George's County as well?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | O. And what were the allegations in that case?
- 16 | A. Improper management of a postoperative wound.
- 17 | Q. And I'm assuming that case is probably still pending?
- 18 A. No. That case was dismissed by the plaintiffs with
- 19 | prejudice.
- 20 | Q. Okay. Was it dismissed immediately after your deposition?
- 21 | A. Pretty much.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And the fourth case, which case is that?
- MS. MAGDEBURGER: And it was dismissed without
- 24 | settlement.
- 25 MR. ZUBER: Okay.

1 MS. MAGDEBURGER: It was just dismissed voluntarily. 2 MR. ZUBER: Sure. 3 MR. AMIN: And last case, I just was deposed last year. That was a case, again, filed in Prince George's County. I believe 4 5 I was the primary defendant in that case as well. 6 MS. MAGDEBURGER: Sole defendant. 7 MR. AMIN: Sole defendant. Case went to trial; and, again, we 8 have a defense verdict for myself. 9 BY MR. ZUBER: 10 Okay. What were the allegations in that case? Q. 11 Allegation, basically of --12 MS. MAGDEBURGER: Complications from --13 -- complications from a Lap-Band removal surgery. 14 MS. MAGDEBURGER: And insertion. 15 Insertion and removal, yeah. 16 Who was the plaintiff's attorney in that case? Q. 17 THE WITNESS: Do you recall, Natalie? I don't -- I don't 18 recall the attorney at this time. 19 MR. ZUBER: Okay. Do you --20 MS. MAGDEBURGER: It's the bathtub effect. Do you 21 have that, Justin, yet? 22 MR. ZUBER: Yeah, yeah. Well... 23 BY MR. ZUBER:

That case, did it involve the use of a VisiPort trocar at

24

25

all?

- $1 \parallel A$. I used it, but that was not part of the allegations.
- 2 \mid Q. Okay. Where did -- was that an operation which took place
- 3 | at Southern Maryland?
- 4 | A. The patient had surgeries at Doctors Community Hospital
- 5 | and at Southern Maryland.
- $6 \parallel Q$. Okay. Where you used the VisiPort, was that Southern
- 7 | Maryland or Doctors Community?
- 8 | A. That would have been both of those surgeries.
- 9 | Q. Okay.
- 10 A. She had an insertion and a removal.
- 11 | Q. I see.
- 12 A. And the removal was complicated by infection and wound
- 13 | problems, and that was the allegation of improperly diagnosing
- 14 | the wound issues.
- 15 | Q. Okay. And the removal, that took place at Southern
- 16 | Maryland?
- 17 \parallel A. That took place at Doctors.
- 18 | Q. Doctors, okay.
- 19 A. Doctors Community Hospital.
- 20 | Q. And you said that there was an allegation regarding the
- 21 | insertion?
- 22 A. Yeah. So she had a port that flipped, and the port flip
- 23 | required us to reoperate. And during that reoperation, she
- 24 developed a wound infection, which ultimately required the band
- 25 | system to be removed.

- 1 Okay. But as you said, none of that had anything to do 2 with the use of a VisiPort trocar?
 - That's correct. Α.

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Okay. So these are obviously cases where you're --

MS. MAGDEBURGER: There's another case though, just 6 so that the record's complete. I think he'd forgotten about 7 the -- the ...

DR. AMIN: Ryker [ph]?

MS. MAGDEBURGER: Right.

DR. AMIN: Mm-hmm. That was also last year, so the deposition occurred last year. That case was, I understand, just recently dismissed by the courts. And that one is also an allegation of injury or complication from Lap-Band -- or sorry, it was a ventral hernia repair, but it did involve a VisiPort. So there was complication during entry of -- using a VisiPort. BY MR. ZUBER:

- 17 Okay. Where was that case filed?
- 18 Prince George's.
- 19 Okay. And you were the sole defendant in that case?
- 20 No, I believe the hospital's also named.
- 21 Okay. And that would be Southern Maryland Hospital?
- 22 Yes, Southern Maryland Hospital.
- 23 Okay. And did the allegations have anything to do with Q. 24 the use of the VisiPort?
- 25 MS. MAGDEBURGER: I'll let him answer on allegations;

- 1 but since the case is still pending, I'm not gonna let him 2 answer anything further beyond that.
- 3 MR. ZUBER: Okay. That's fine.
- 4 They're alleging that there was a complication from Yeah. 5 the entry technique.
- 6 Okay. Do you know the name of the plaintiff's attorney in 7 that case?
- I don't recall. I'm sorry. 8
- 9 Okay. And when were you deposed? Q.
- 10 This was also last year. Α.
- 11 Remember what month last year?
- 12 Α. No.
- 13 Can you give me a season? Winter? Summer? Spring?
- 14 Yeah. It seemed like -- I think it was sort of beginning
- 15 of the year, maybe February or March. That would be ...
- 16 Q. Okay. All right. So I think those are cases where you've 17 provided testimony as a defendant. Have you ever testified in
- 18 a case as a medical expert?
- 19 A. No, I have not.
- 20 MR. ZUBER: Okay. All right. So I'm gonna have this 21 marked as Exhibit No. 1. This is his CV.
- 22 (Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)
- 23 BY MR. ZUBER:
- 24 Q. All right. Doctor, I'm just gonna show you this briefly; 25

but then I need it back so I can ask you questions about it.

1 | A. Sure.

3

4

- 2 | Q. But is that an updated and accurate copy of your CV?
 - A. Yes, this appears to be.
 - Q. Okay.
- MS. MAGDEBURGER: Here you go. Why don't you let him keep that, and you can use this one. How's that?
- 7 MR. ZUBER: Okay. Sure. That works.
- 8 MS. MAGDEBURGER: That way we can keep the exhibits 9 together.
- 10 | BY. MR. ZUBER:
- Q. Doctor, I know a lot of this is kind of written down here already; but can you just kind of briefly describe for me your
- 13 | educational background?
- 14 A. Mm-hmm. So I earned my BS at Emory University, went on to
- 15 do my medical school at St. George's University in
- 16 Grenada, where I earned an M.D. degree, after which I
- 17 | immediately transitioned into residency at Case -- well,
- 18 | they -- they now call it Case Medical Center. At the time it
- 19 was called Case Western Reserve University program. I
- 20 completed my residency in five years, and then I entered
- 21 | clinical practice immediately after.
- 22 | Q. Why did you choose to go to St. George's?
- MS. MAGDEBURGER: Objection. Relevance. You may answer.
- 25 A. It was one of the schools that accepted me, so I decided

to go. Were you accepted to any schools in the U.S.? Α. No. MS. MAGDEBURGER: Objection to relevance. Move to strike. And, actually, Mr. Zuber, I'd ask you to move on 'cause, as you well know, there's case law in Maryland that if it's not relevant, it's not admissible. So you're just tweaking the doctor, which I don't think is appropriate. MR. ZUBER: Okay. MS. MAGDEBURGER: Let's stay professional.